UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,368	01/10/2002	Thomas E. Broome	1001.1388101	8240
	7590 02/16/201 SEAGER & TUFTE, L	EXAMINER		
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE			EREZO, DARWIN P	
SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55403-2420		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/044,368	BROOME ET AL.
	Art Unit
Darwin P. Erezo	3773
	-

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 18 November 2009.
 Improper Request – The Freason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not inc	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. lude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains un- is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one montl running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal in from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period stice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date able.
The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from col	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>Darwin P. Erezo</u> .	(3) <u>Thomas Barrett</u> .
(2)	(4)
/Thomas C. Barrett/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3775	/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773